

CHOLLAS CREEK DISSOLVED METALS TMDLS IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
STAKEHOLDER MEETING SUMMARY
DECEMBER 15, 2008

1. Opening Remarks

On October 22, 2008 the OLA approved the TMDL which means that the Implementation Plan schedule has begun and will require completion within one year from this date (October 22, 2009).

2. Review Stakeholder 10/6/08 Meeting Comments and Responses

Drew Kleis (City of San Diego) reviewed Stakeholder comments and responses. The notable comments were discussed, including:

- a) Sediment Comment: Although sediment has not been included in the Monitoring Plan due to resource limitations (i.e. funding), sediment sampling is being done in the San Diego Bay Watershed as part of the Regional Synthetic Pyrethroid Monitoring Program (Ruth Kolb).
- b) Schools Incorporation Comment: The Regional Board provided an email to the City stating that there are no current plans to add school districts in the watershed to the TMDL (Schools will be included as part of the Phase II permits). Therefore, the school districts will not be included in the Implementation Plan.
- c) Pending Comments: The Chollas Heights Residential Comment will be addressed during the next stakeholder meeting (tentatively set for February 18, 2009).

3. TMDL Monitoring Strategy

A map of the proposed sampling locations was distributed. Three types of samples are planned.

- Compliance monitoring points at the existing TMDL compliance locations SD8 and DPR2 locations – these samples are flow weighted composite samples;
- Long Term Effectiveness monitoring points at the most downstream point of the jurisdictional boundaries of La Mesa and Lemon Grove to assess long term effectiveness of watershed activities; and,
- Temporary Grab samples located throughout the watershed that will be taken using the first flush approach. Samples will be collected within the first 3 hours of the storm to represent the higher potential pollutant loading. Sites will be identified based on land use and potential pollutant sources, location relative to the sub-drainage areas and access.

COMMENT: Coastkeeper mentioned that they are conducting dry weather monitoring in the Creek and this data can be added to wet weather grab samples.

Response: These data may be added to the Annual Report as additional third party data.

COMMENT: Coastkeeper commented that not all pollutant sources in La Mesa have been addressed by the current proposed Grab monitoring locations.

Response: These grab sample sites are temporary and therefore can be moved to cover more space/sources, such as areas in La Mesa. The dischargers will respond in more detail to this comment in for the February meeting.

COMMENT: Coastkeeper asked if the data from the Regional Monitoring is available as well as where the data from the TMDL monitoring will be stored.

Response: The data from the Regional Program is housed currently with Weston Solutions, Inc. (current Contractor for the Copermittee Monitoring Program). The data is presented in the Annual Storm Water Report that is made available to the public on the County's Project Clean Water web-site. Data generated from the TMDL monitoring will be presented in the Annual Reports.

It was also mentioned that additional monitoring efforts are being performed in the watershed as part of the Regional Monitoring program that include bioassessment and toxicity testing. The results of these studies/testing are also presented in the Annual Storm Water Report for the Copermittee Regional Monitoring Program.

The TMDL Monitoring proposed in the Monitoring Plan will not begin until the 2009/2010 wet weather season that begins in October 2009. Monitoring that is being conducted this year includes the current pesticide TMDL monitoring at DPR2 and SD8. La Mesa has completed some monitoring at the Monitoring at the Long Term Effectiveness site LM-1 this year. Lemon Grove has not sampled this year due to budget cuts.

4. Activity Selection Process

The dischargers presented the process in a Power Point Presentation. The dischargers developed the process to provide a transparent watershed activity selection process involving stakeholders, and to provide a framework for selecting and prioritizing activities. Handouts were distributed and opened the table for comments and discussion.

COMMENT: Should jurisdictional activities required of those dischargers co-permitted under the Municipal NPDES Permit be included in the General List(s) of Activities and/or in the Appendix C list of Discharger specific activities? For example, street sweeping is also a jurisdictional activity required by the Municipal Permit and would achieve a level of load reduction. The group generally agreed that these types of activities should be included in the activity lists being developed for the Implementation Plan.

Action Item: Dischargers are to discuss how to incorporate jurisdictional activities into the Plan. Updated activities lists will be provided for stakeholder comment prior to the February 18, 2009, meeting.

COMMENT: Coastkeeper recommended adding the cost of projects to the General List of Activities (so Stakeholders can prioritize which activities to provide greater support).

Action Item: Revise Appendix C to include a column of estimated implementation costs (Design and Construction).

5. Comments/New Business

The group tentatively decided to hold the next stakeholder meeting on Wednesday, February 18, 2009. Stakeholders will receive materials before the meeting for review. Materials will include:

1. Draft Monitoring Strategy.
2. Draft Implementation Plan. To facilitate discussion on the activities identified in the Plan, the following items are planned to be provided for the February 18 stakeholder meeting:
 - Up to five (5) specific projects (one from each discharger) defined and described in detail. Examples should be identified in all sectors and Tier types.
 - Example sub-watershed area with up to five different specific project types (with possible locations), but none of the details completed. This information would be handed out to stakeholders and groups would break up into groups to discuss and develop what the projects should have for goals, methods of measure, stakeholder feedback.
 - Types of projects may include: LID dual-use park site, restoration project, commercial site, source control project, etc.

Notes: When Dischargers discuss partnering opportunities specific stakeholders will be considered and/or invited to participate including:

- Water Department
- Park and Recreation
- Stakeholders interested in Canyon restoration projects, etc.

S:\2150-Municipal&Watershed\2_Watersheds&TMDLs\4_San Diego Bay\Chollas Metals TMDL\Stakeholder meetings\Chollas Creek Metals TMDL Stakeholder Meeting Summary 12 15 08.doc